

# CSC 341 - Fall 2022

## Problem Set 9

Due Monday, November 28

**Problem 1.** Prove that the following language is in  $NP$ .

$$PRIME = \{\langle p \rangle \mid p \text{ is prime}\}$$

You should not use the fact that  $PRIME \in P$  to show this.

**Problem 2.** The *partition* problem is as follows. Given a finite set of natural numbers  $S$ , determine if there is a  $S' \subseteq S$  such that the sum of the elements of  $S'$  is equal to the sum of the elements in  $S \setminus S'$ .

Prove that the following language is in  $NP$ .

$$PARTITION = \{\langle S \rangle \mid S \text{ has a partition}\}$$

**Problem 3.** Recall that the *subset sum* problem is as follows. Given a finite set of natural numbers  $S$  and a natural number  $t$ , determine if there is a  $S' \subseteq S$  such that the sum of the elements of  $S'$  is  $t$ . The subset-sum language is then

$$SUBSET-SUM = \{\langle S, t \rangle \mid S \text{ has a subset whose elements sum to } t\}.$$

Prove that  $PARTITION \leq_m^p SUBSET-SUM$  and  $SUBSET-SUM \leq_m^p PARTITION$ .

**Problem 4.** Recall that a *Hamiltonian cycle* of a graph  $G$  is a path that visits each vertex of  $G$  exactly once and ends where it starts. The decision problem for this question is

$$HAM-CYCLE = \{\langle G \rangle \mid G \text{ has a Hamiltonian cycle}\}.$$

The *traveling salesman* problem is as follows. Given a weighted, directed graph  $G$ , determine the minimum weight Hamiltonian cycle of  $G$ . The decision problem variant of this has an additional parameter  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . In this case, you must determine if there is a Hamiltonian cycle with weight at most  $k$ . We define this language formally as

$$TSP = \{\langle G, k \rangle \mid G \text{ has a Hamiltonian cycle of weight at most } k\}.$$

Prove that  $HAM-CYCLE \leq_m^p TSP$ .

**Problem 5.** A 2cnf-formula is a finite conjunction (logical and) of clauses, where each clause is a disjunction (logical or) of at most two terms. For example, we have

$$\phi(x_1, x_2, x_3) = (x_1 \vee x_2) \wedge (\neg x_3) \wedge (x_1 \vee \neg x_3).$$

A 2cnf-formula is *satisfiable* if there is some assignment of the variables that makes the formula evaluate to true.

We define the corresponding language to be

$$2SAT = \{\langle \phi \rangle \mid \phi \text{ is a satisfiable 2cnf formula}\}.$$

Show that  $2SAT \in P$ .